

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,296	11/02/2001	Subramanian Vasudevan	3-2	2491	
Docket Administration (Room 3J-219) Lucent Technologies Inc. 101 Crawfords Corner Road Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			WONG, W	WONG, WARNER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
		DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ck	•
71	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/001,296	VASUDEVAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Warner Wong	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 October 2006</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/001,296

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gitlin (6,018,528) in view of Wan (US 2002/0147024 A1).

Regarding claims 1,10 and 19, Gitlin describes an air interface transmission (inherent of transmitting, receiving and allocating) method for CDMA/TDMA/FDMA users (mobile devices) comprising:

transmitting/receiving information over a shared wireless channel by varying a time span (total time slots to transfer entire information payload) and at least one of a bandwidth (frequency bands or code space) and a duty cycle (# of time slots for a user) (fig. 6 and 7, where # of frequency bands, code space and/or time slots are varied), wherein the time span is based on the channel quality (col. 8, lines 42-45, where the scheduler varies its scheduling (time span) according to the Bit Error Rate (BER) (channel quality)).

Gitlin fails to describe using the rate of change of its channel quality of BER for his adjustments in wireless communication.

Wan describes using the rate of change of its channel quality of BER for adjustments in wireless communication (paragraphs 56-57 discloses factors of BER, Rx

Quality and Receive Signal Strength RSS/Rx level for the signal (channel) quality, and specifically uses the rate of change of signal quality from RSS/Rx level in adjusting the scanning rate, suggesting that it is also plausible to use the rate of change of signal quality from BER instead).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to use of "rate of change in channel/signal quality" as in Wan's wireless communication method in place of using the "change quality" in preventing interference from exceeding the acceptable threshold in the wireless transmission method of Gitlin.

The motivation for combining the teaching is that it allows rapid handovers (i.e. allocating new wireless resources) for mobiles traveling in high speeds (Wan, paragraph 91).

Regarding claims 2 and 11, Gitlin describes at least one of a bandwidth and a duty cycle are varied as a function of a channel quality (BER) of a wireless receiver (col. 8, lines 42-45, "The scheduling process accounts for granting the various users codes so that the BER caused by the total level of interference from all the transmissions remains below the acceptable threshold.")

Regarding claims 3 and 12, Gitlin describes the channel quality comprises the bit error rate (BER) of a wireless link between the wireless receiver and a wireless transmitter (col. 8, lines 42-45, "The scheduling process accounts for granting the various users codes so that the BER caused by the total level of interference from all the transmissions remains below the acceptable threshold.")

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 4 and 13, Gitlin describes the channel quality comprises the interference from information transmitted to at least one other wireless receiver (col. 8, lines 42-45, "The scheduling process accounts for granting the various users codes so that the BER caused by the total level of interference from all the transmissions remains below the acceptable threshold.")

Regarding claims 5 and 14, Gitlin describes the step of transmitting/receiving a signal corresponding with a transmission format having a time span and at least one of a bandwidth (frequency bands or code space) and a duty cycle (time slots) to be employed for the information to be transmitted (fig. 6 and 7, where the transmission format of each user comprises certain frequency bands, code space and/or time slots).

Regarding claims 6 and 15, Gitlin describes the signal comprising a bit sequence corresponding with at least one of the varied time span (time slots) and varied bandwidth (frequency bands or code space) (col. 2, lines 22-25, "The individual time slots 24 can transmit a given number of bits for voice (n bits) or video (m bits) transmissions, using different amounts of bandwidths.")

Regarding claims 7 and 16, Gitlin describes the transmitting/receiving a signal comprises:

determining the transmission format (fig. 6 and 7, where the transmission format of each user comprises certain frequency bands, code space and/or time slots);

recalculating the bandwidth (frequency bands or code space) of the transmission format if the time span (interval of time allocated to download the entire data [payload], depending on rate of variation [i.e. channel quality] as defined on p.13 of specification)

Art Unit: 2616

is greater than an information payload to be transmitted divided by a data rate (i.e. the anticipated interval of time **not** accounted for any channel quality variation) of the wireless receiver (col. 8, lines 52-54, "Scheduling may thus be used to efficiently pack each time slot within overall medium 40, while maintaining acceptable bit error rates.", where the scheduler dynamically recalculates the bandwidth based on varying channel quality.)

Regarding claims 8 and 17, Gitlin describes the transmitting/receiving a signal comprises:

determining the transmission format (fig. 7, where the transmission format of each user comprises certain code space and/or time slots);

recalculating the duty cycle (# of time slots for a user per a transmission window as in fig. 6 or 7; each duty cycle is a fraction of time span as per definition on p. 13 of the applicant specification & each duty cycle transmits a portion of the payload.) of the transmission format if the time span (interval of time allocated to download the entire data [payload], depending on rate of variation [i.e. channel quality] as defined on p.13 of specification) is greater than an information payload to be transmitted divided by a data rate (i.e. the anticipated interval of time **not** accounted for any channel quality variation) of the wireless receiver (col. 8, lines 52-54, "Scheduling may thus be used to efficiently pack each time slot within overall medium 40, while maintaining acceptable bit error rates." where the scheduler dynamically recalculates the bandwidth based on varying channel quality.)

Application/Control Number: 10/001,296

Art Unit: 2616

Page 6

Regarding claims 9 and 18, the definitions of time span and duty cycle are interpreted by the examiner as provided in claim 1. The data rate is interpreted by the examiner as the # of time slots multiplied by # of frequency bands/code space of a user per a transmission window, which is subject to change by the scheduler (col. 8, lines 52-54). Hence, by such definition, Gitlin teaches that the duty cycle will be determined by (a function of) dividing the information payload by the product of the data rate and the time span.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Warner Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-8197. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30AM - 2:00PM, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Warner Wong Examiner Art Unit 2616

WW

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED